Document 71

Filed 03/21/25

Page 1 of 6 Page ID

Case 5:23-cv-00695-SSS-DTB

### II. PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS (16-4.1)

# (a) A Summary Statement of the Claims Plaintiff has Plead and Plans to Pursue

# • Claim 1: Excessive Force (Fourth Amendment; 42 U.S.C. § 1983) Plaintiff contends that Defendant Nicholas Koahou used excessive force when he shot him at a time when Plaintiff posed no immediate threat of death or serious bodily injury to any person. Plaintiff Justin Harper brings this claim individually against Defendant Nicholas Koahou. Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages for the nature and extent of his injuries, past and future disability, impairment, disfigurement, loss of enjoyment of life, physical and mental pain and suffering, inconvenience, grief, anxiety, and humiliation. Plaintiff also seeks damages for medical care, treatment, and services. Plaintiff also seeks costs and statutory attorneys' fees under this claim.

### • Claim 2: Battery by a Peace Officer (Deadly Force)

Plaintiff contends that Defendant Nicholas Koahou, while acting in the course and scope of his employment as a City of Redlands police officer, used unreasonable deadly force when he shot him at a time when Plaintiff posed no immediate threat of death or serious bodily injury to any person. Plaintiff Justin Harper brings this claim individually against Defendant Nicholas Koahou and the City of Redlands. Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages for the nature and extent of his injuries, past and future disability, impairment, disfigurement, loss of enjoyment of life, physical and mental pain and suffering, inconvenience, grief, anxiety, and humiliation. Plaintiff also seeks damages for medical care, treatment, and services. Plaintiff also seeks costs and statutory attorneys' fees under this claim. The City of Redlands is vicariously liable pursuant to Cal. Gov. Code §§815.2(a).

### • Claim 3: Negligent Use of Deadly Force by a Peace Officer

Plaintiff contends that Defendant Nicholas Koahou, while acting in the course and scope of his employment as a City of Redlands police officer, was negligent in using deadly force and in his pre-shooting tactics. Plaintiff Justin Harper brings this claim individually against Defendant Nicholas Koahou and the City of Redlands. Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages for the nature and extent of his injuries, past and future disability, impairment, disfigurement, loss of enjoyment of life, physical and mental pain and suffering, inconvenience, grief, anxiety, and humiliation. Plaintiff also seeks damages for medical care, treatment, and services. Plaintiff also seeks costs and statutory attorneys' fees under this claim. The City of Redlands is vicariously liable pursuant to Cal. Gov. Code §§815.2(a).

### • Claim 4: Violation of the Bane Act (Cal. Civ. Code § 52.1)

Plaintiff contends that Defendant Nicholas Koahou deprived him of his constitutional rights when he used excessive force. Plaintiff Justin Harper brings this claim individually against Defendant Nicholas Koahou and the City of Redlands. Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages for the nature and extent of his injuries, past and future disability, impairment, disfigurement, loss of enjoyment of life, physical and mental pain and suffering, inconvenience, grief, anxiety, and humiliation. Plaintiff also seeks damages for medical care, treatment, and services. Plaintiff also seeks costs and statutory attorneys' fees under this claim. The City of Redlands is vicariously liable pursuant to Cal. Gov. Code §§815.2(a).)).

### (b) Elements Required to Establish Plaintiff's Claims

### • Claim 1: Excessive Force (Fourth Amendment; 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

- 1. Defendant Nicholas Koahou acted under color of law (stipulated);
- 2. Defendant Nicholas Koahou used excessive force against Plaintiff

1	Justin Harper;						
2	3. The excessive force was a cause of injury, damage, or harm to						
3	Plaintiff Justin Harper.						
4	See Ninth Circuit Manual of Model Jury Instructions, Instruction No. 9.25						
5	(2017 Edition, Dec. 2023 update).						
6	• Claim 2: Battery by a Peace Officer (Deadly Force)						
7	Defendant Nicholas Koahou used deadly force against Plaintiff Justin						
8	Harper;						
9	2. Defendant Nicholas Koahou's use of deadly force was not necessary						
10	to defend human life;						
11	3. Defendant Nicholas Koahou's use of deadly force was a cause of						
12	injury or harm to Plaintiff Justin Harper.						
13	See CACI 1305B (2024 edition).						
14	• Claim 3: Negligent Use of Deadly Force by a Peace Officer						
15	1. Defendant Nicholas Koahou was negligent;						
16	2. The negligence of Defendant Nicholas Koahou was a cause of						
17	injury or harm to Plaintiff Justin Harper.						
18	See CACI 401, 440, 441 (2024 edition).						
19	• Claim 4: Violation of Bane Act (Cal. Civ. Code § 52.1)						
20	1. Defendant Nicholas Koahou used excessive force against Plaintiff						
21	Justin Harper;						
22	2. Defendant Nicholas Koahou intended to violate Plaintiff Justin						
23	Harper's rights, demonstrated by Defendant Nicholas Koahou acting						
24	with reckless disregard for Plaintiff Justin Harper's right to be free						
25	from excessive force;						
26	3. The use of excessive force was a cause of injury, damage, or harm to						
27	Plaintiff Justin Harper.						
28	See Reese v. County of Sacramento, 888 F.3d 1030, 1043 (9th Cir. 2018) (citing						

Cornell v.	City and	County of	San Fra	ncisco, 17	<sup>7</sup> Cal. App	o. 5th 766,	801-802
(2017)).							

### (c) Brief Description of the Key Evidence in Support of Each of the Claims

Testimony of Defendant Nicholas Koahou. Testimony of Plaintiff Justin Harper. Testimony of witnesses Martin Salazar, Joseph Garcia, Corey Guerra, and Greg Gallo. Expert testimony including Plaintiffs' police practices expert, Scott DeFoe. Medical testimony from involved Redlands Fire Department personnel who treated Plaintiff Harper on scene. Medical testimony from American Medical Response personnel who treated Plaintiff Harper on scene. Medical testimony from Loma Linda University Medical Center personnel who treated Plaintiff Harper for his injuries from the shooting. Scene photographs. Photographs of Plaintiff Harper's injuries. Cell phone video of the shooting.

### III. <u>BIFURCATION OF ISSUES (16-4.3)</u>

The Parties are not requesting bifurcation.

## IV. <u>JURY TRIAL (16-4.4)</u>

All of Plaintiff's claims are triable to a jury as a matter of right, and a timely jury demand has been made by all parties. [See Dkt. 45, 48.]

### V. <u>ATTORNEYS' FEES (16-4.5)</u>

Plaintiff claims attorneys' fees for all eligible claims that will be tried in this case. Each of Plaintiff's claims brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 is eligible for attorneys' fees under 42 U.S.C. § 1988. Plaintiff's Bane Act claim is eligible for attorneys' fees under Cal. Civ. Code § 52.1(i).

1	VI.	VI. ABANDONMENT OF ISSUES (16-4.6)						
2		Plaintiff does not intend to pursue his claim for punitive damages.						
3								
4	DAT	ED: March 21, 2025	LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO					
5								
6			By/s/ Dale K. Galipo					
7			Dale K. Galipo Attorneys for Plaintiff					
8			7 tuoineys for 1 tuintiii					
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>								
∠ð			<i>C</i>					
	11		6					